Carlo Gonnella, Jean-Christophe Stauffer, Manon Siegenthaler, François Perret, Reza Owlya, Milos Savcic, Philippe Beuret, Régis Ménétrey, Jean-Jacques Goy

Cardiology Service, Clinique Cecil, Lausanne, Switzerland

Beneficial effect of PFO closure with the Intrasept device on the incidence of migraine headache

Abstract

Patent foramen ovale (PFO) may be involved in the development of migraine in some patients. We evaluated the possible beneficial role of PFO closure in patients referred to our institution for this closure.

All patients completed the international headache questionnaire to determine migraine status before treatment and again at 6 months and 1 year after PFO closure. Before intervention, each patient was evaluated clinically by transthoracic and transoesophageal echocardiography. Closure of PFO attempted in 123 patients (58 men and 65 women) with a mean age of 51 ± 10 years. Twentyeight of these patients (16%) (16 women and 12 men) had true migraine attacks prior to intervention. All patients were treated medically with anti-inflammatory drugs or triptan derivates before intervention. At 6 month the PFO was completely closed at rest in 94% of patients. A small (grade 2) residual shunt was present during Valsalva in 14% of patients. All patients received antiplatelet therapy (acetylsalicylic acid [ASA] and clopidogrel) for 6 months. Among the 28 patients with migraine, 10 patients (37%) were completely free of migraine and another 10 patients (37%) reported a significant improvement in their symptoms with less attacks, less pain and were taking less medication. Five patients (19%) had no change and 1 patient (7%) had a significant worsening of his symptoms. Finally 1 patient (1%) without migraine before the intervention started to have migraine attacks during follow-up. Four patients with migraine had residual shunt of which two were improved and 2 had no changes in their symptoms. Our data confirmed a possible positive effect of PFO closure in the prevention and treatment of mi-

Supported by a grant from the Cardiology Fondation of the Cecil Clinic.

Key words: migraine; patent foramen ovale closure

Résumé

La relation entre le foramen ovale perméable est la migraine a été établie récemment. Nous avons évalué l'effet de la fermeture d'un foramen ovale perméable dans un groupe de patients qui nous a été référé pour bénéficier de cette intervention.

Tous les malades ont rempli un questionnaire afin d'évaluer leur status migraineux avant l'intervention, 6 mois et 1 an après la fermeture du foramen ovale. Avant l'intervention, chaque patient a bénéficié d'une évaluation clinique et d'un échocardiogramme transthoracique et transoesophagien. Nous avons traité 123 malades (58 hommes et 65 femmes) avec un âge moyen de 51 ± 10 ans. Vingt-huit d'entre eux (16%) (16 femmes et 12 hommes) souffraient de migraine typique avant l'intervention. Tous recevaient, avant la fermeture, un traitement anti-migraineux d'anti-inflammatoire et/ou de triptans. Six mois après l'intervention, le foramen était complètement fermé à l'état basal chez 94% des patients et un minime shunt de degré 1 à l'épreuve de Valsalva était présent chez 14% des patients. Un traitement de clopidogrel et d'acide acétylsalicylique (AAS) a été administré à tous les patients pendant 6 mois. Parmi les 28 patients souffrant de migraine, 10 (37%) n'ont plus présenté d'épisodes migraineux. Dix autres patients (37%) ont constaté une amélioration significative de leur symptômes avec une diminution d'au moins 50% de la fréquence des crises de migraine, avec également des crises moins douloureuses. Cinq patients (19%) n'ont pas signalé de changement et un patient (7%) a re-

Correspondence: J.-J. Goy Service de Cardiologie Clinique Cecil Av. Ruchonnet 53 CH-1003 Lausanne E-Mail: jjgoy@goyman.com marqué une aggravation de ses symptômes. Finalement un patient sans migraine avant la fermeture de son foramen ovale perméable a signalé l'apparition de migraine après l'intervention. Parmi les quatre malades avec migraine qui avaient un shunt résiduel après la fermeture du foramen ovale perméable, deux signalaient une amélioration de leur symptômes et deux aucun changement.

Au vu de ces résultats, nous concluons à un probable effet positif de la fermeture du foramen ovale perméable sur la fréquence et l'intensité des crises de migraine.

Mot-clefs: migraine; foramen ovale perméable

Introduction

The pathophysiological mechanism of the beneficial effects of PFO closure in patients with stroke and migraine remains unclear but a common pathway between thrombus and migraine may exist. PFO closure has a beneficial effect in some sub-groups of patients with migraine.

It has recently been shown that patent foramen ovale (PFO) may be involved in the development of migraine in some patients [1], and that percutaneous closure of PFO may have significant beneficial effects in the outcome of this patient group [2–8]. A causal relationship between PFO and migraine has been proposed. In individuals with a right-to-left shunt, a lower dose of venous trigger substances may be needed to induce migraine because the shunt permits the pulmonary filter to be bypassed [9]. We evaluated the possible beneficial role of PFO closure in patients referred to our institution for this intervention.

Methods

All patients referred to our clinic between January 2000 and April 2006 for percutaneous closure of patent foramen ovale were included in a registry concerning the possible effect of PFO closure on the incidence of migraine attacks. All patients were fully informed and signed the appropriate consent form. Additionally, each patient completed the international headache questionnaire (see appendix) to determine their migraine status before treatment and again at 6 months and 1 year after PFO closure. Before intervention, all patients were evaluated clinically by transthoracic and transoesophageal echocardiography to demonstrate the PFO. All patients who underwent percutaneous closure of PFO were included in the registry.

Closure of the PFO was performed via the right femoral vein with transoesophageal echocardiography control under complete anaesthesia. The device implanted was the Intrasept (Cardia, Eagan, MN, USA). Artificial ventilation was assured with a laryngeal mask in order to avoid intubation. A 7 French introducer was placed in the femoral vein and the interatrial septum was crossed with a multipurpose catheter. At that time 70 U/kg of heparin was administered with 500 mg of ASA. Using an exchange wire, an 11 to 13 French Mullins catheter was advanced into the left atrium. Balloon sizing of the PFO was not performed. Device size was selected based on echocardiography aspects. A 25 mm diameter device was implanted in patients without aneurysm of the interatrial septum and a 30 or 35 mm diameter device in those with an aneurysm. The device was then folded and inserted into a 12 French introducer, loaded in the guiding catheter, and gently advanced to the tip of the Mullins. When correctly placed in the left atrium, the distal wing of the umbrella was opened under echocardiography control and applied against the left side of the interatrial septum. While maintaining slight traction in this position, the proximal wing was then opened in the right atrium. With both wings conforming flat against the septum and the defect fully covered, the device was release by opening the delivery forceps. Patients were then awakened and transferred to an intermediate care unit for 3 hours and then discharged on a chronic treatment of ASA and clopidogrel. A control echocardiography was performed the next morning or a dew days later for those who have left the hospital the same day. Antiplatelet therapy was maintained for 6 months and stopped unless a complication occurred. At follow-up transthoracic echocardiography with microbubble injection at rest and during the Valsalva maneuver was performed at 1, 30 and 180 days. Residual shunt was defined as follow: Grade 1 = no bubbles, grade 2 = 0-10 bubbles, grade 3 = 10-20 bubbles, grade 4 = more than 20 bubbles. Antibiotic prophylaxis was recommended for 6 months after implantation. The corresponding home physician and cardiologist followed each patient. Migraine relief definition was: 1 = complete absence of migraine; 2 = reduction in frequency (50% less episodes); 3 = no change or deterioration.

Results

Closure of PFO was attempted in 123 patients (58 men and 65 women) with a mean age of 51

± 10 years. The indication for PFO closure is indicated in table 1. Twenty-eight of these patients (23%) (16 women and 12 men) had true migraine attacks prior to intervention. The cha-

racteristics of these patients and the type of migraine are summarised in table 2. All patients were treated medically with anti-inflammatory drugs or triptan derivates before the intervention. They were also under ASA as a chronic treatment following their transient ischaemic attack. The device was successfully deployed and implanted in all patients except two (98%) in which the guiding catheter could not be positioned across the septum. The device sizes used (n = 121) were 25 mm in 47 patients (39%), 30 mm in 60 patients (49.5%) and 35 mm in 14 patients (11.5%). In-hospital complications occurred in four patients and consisted of air embolism in the right coronary artery in three patients. A transient (3 to 5 minutes) ST segment elevation was present in the inferior leads. No CK elevation was documented. In the fourth patient a transient amnesia occurred after the intervention but subsided after a few hours.

At 6 month follow-up the PFO was completely closed at rest in 94%. In the remaining patients a small (grade I), but non-significant residual shunt was present. At one year a complete follow-up was obtained for all patients and showed no dislodgement, perforation or thrombus present. The PFO was completely closed at rest in 106 of the 108 patients available for follow-up (99%). With Valsalva maneuver a grade 1 shunt was present in 15 patients (14%). Only one patient had a major bleeding incident probably related to the antiplatelet therapy. Among the 28 patients with migraine, 10 (37%) were completely free of migraine and another 10 patients (37%) reported a significant improvement in their symptoms with less attacks, less pain and were taking less medication. Five patients (19%) had no change and one patient (7%) had a significant worsening of symptoms. Fi-

Table 1Characteristics of PFO patients (n = 123).

Sex (men)	58 (51%)
Mean age (years)	51 ± 10
Successful procedure	121 (98%)
Patients with migraine	28 (23%)
Size of the device (mm)	
25	47
30	60
35	14
Indication for PFO closure	
CVA	43
TIA	66
Diving	5
Other (Migraine)	7
Complete closure at 6 month	94%
CVA = cerebral vascular accident;	
TIA = transient ischaemic attack	

nally one patient (1%) without migraine before the intervention started to have migraine attacks during follow-up. Among the 7 patients with migraine as primary indication to close the PFO, 4 were cured, 1 had some improvement and 2 did not report any changes.

Discussion

The prevalence of migraine in patients with cryptogenic stroke and PFO is higher than in normal subjects and occurs in approximately 30 to 40% of this group [2, 3, 6, 7]. In our series only 23% of the base group were migraineurs. This difference may be related to the use of very severe inclusion criteria in our cohort of patients. Moreover, ours is a prospective registry compared to retrospective analysis in the previously mentioned trials. Despite this difference, we found a significant benefit of PFO closure. Even with our small number of patients we noted a remarkable effect of PFO closure with almost 37% of patients completely cured of their migraine attacks and another 37% significantly improved at time of follow-up. Similar to previ-

Table 2 Characteristics of the patients with migraine (n = 28).

Mean age (years)	51 ± 12
Sex (female / men)	16 / 12
Migraine duration	
<1 year	2
<5 years	7
>5 years	18
unknown	1
Nausea and vomiting	4
Migraine with aura	18
Incidence	
1 time/month	10
1-4 times/month	10
4–15 times/month	6
1 time/day	1
Several times/day	0
Mean duration of the attack	
<4 hours	15
>4 hours	11
Localised on one side of the head	22
Noise and light intolerance	16
Pulsatile pain	16
Pain during effort	15
Pain located on one side of the head	16
Vomiting	5
Drugs	
1	15
2	8
3	5

ously published reports, a significant reduction in overall frequency of migraine events was observed in almost 80% of the patients [2–5, 7]. Only one trial reports only a small clinical benefit with an improvement in 50% of the patients [6]. However, this trial was conducted in patients with ASD, and not all patients had demonstrated stroke before ASD closure. This is clearly a different subset of patients than those included in the PFO trials. Even when a placebo effect is reported in trials on migraine treatment, it occurs in a lower proportion of patients (20–40%) [9, 10].

In the preliminary data of the MIST (Migraine Intervention with Starflex Technology) trial, a 50% reduction in headache days frequency was achieved by 42% of patients who had PFO closure and the headache burden (frequency times duration) was reduced by 37% [11]. The differences between our data and those of MIST may be due to the higher percent of women enrolled in the MIST trial (86% vs 49%), and a lower percent of migraineurs in our total patient population (23% vs 100%). Moreover, the residual shunt after closure (not indicated for MIST and only 6% in our trial) and a different treatment during follow-up (ASA and clopidogrel: 3 months versus 6 months) may partially explain the differences.

The pathophysiological mechanism of the beneficial effects of PFO closure in patients with stroke and migraine remains unclear but a common pathway between thrombus and migraine may exist. One can argue that the introduction of a double antiplatelet therapy (combination of aspirin and clopidogrel) may explain the favorable outcome. This is very improbable since, at 6 months, antiplatelet medication was stopped without recurrence of symptoms. The main limitation of this registry is its non-randomised design and the small number of patients. However, this study and others support and appear to demonstrate some beneficial effects of PFO closure on migraine attacks. Randomised. prospective trials are clearly warranted to confirm this beneficial effect and to elucidate its patho-physiological mechanism.

Appendix

International Headache Association questionnaire

- Do you have often headache?
- How often do you have headache?

Never Once per month Several times per month Once per week Several times per week Once per day Several times per day

- How severe is the headache (scale 0 to 10)?
- Do you experience visual disturbances during or before the headache episodes (left or right sided)?
- Do you experience tingling in arms?
- Do you have difficulties to speak before or during the headache episodes?
- Do you experience weakness before or during the headache episodes?
- How long do the visual disturbances last?
- How long does the tingling last?
- How long do the speech disturbances last?
- When do you have headache, do you experience nausea, vomiting, increase in pain when walking?
- Do you avoid light or noise?
- Is the headache pulsating?
- Is the headache located or one side or both sides of the head (left or right)?
- Can you continue your every day duty when you have your headache episode?
- How long does the headache episode last?

References

- 1 Sztajzel R, Genoud D, Roth S, Mermillod B, Le Floch-Rohr J. Patent foramen ovale, a possible cause of symptomatic migraine: a study of 74 patients with acute ischemic stroke. Cerebrovasc Dis. 2002;13:102–6.
- 2 Schwerzmann M, Nedeltchev K, Lagger F, Mattle HP, Windecker S, Meier B, et al. Prevalence and size of directly detected patent foramen ovale in migraine with aura. Neurology. 2005;65:1415–8.
- 3 Reisman M, Christofferson RD, Jesurum J, Olsen JV, Spencer MP, Krabill KA, et al. Migraine headache relief after transcatheter closure of patent foramen ovale. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2005;45:493-5.
- 4 Wilmshurst PT, Nightingale S, Walsh KP, Morrison WL. Effect on migraine of closure of cardiac right-to-left shunts to prevent recurrence of decompression illness or stroke or for haemodynamic reasons. Lancet. 2000;356:1648–51.
- 5 Azarbal B, Tobis J, Suh W, Chan V, Dao C, Gaster R. Association of interatrial shunts and migraine headaches: impact of transcatheter closure. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2005;45:489–92.
- 6 Mortelmans K, Post M, Thijs V, Herroelen L, Budts W. The influence of percutaneous atrial septal defect closure on the occurrence of migraine. Eur Heart J. 2005;26:1533–7.
- 7 Schwerzmann M, Wiher S, Nedeltchev K, Mattle HP, Wahl A, Seiler C, et al. Percutaneous closure of patent foramen ovale reduces the frequency of migraine attacks. Neurology. 2004;62:1399–401.
- 8 Morandi E, Anzola GP, Angeli S, Melzi G, Onorato E. Transcatheter closure of patent foramen ovale: a new migraine treatment? J Intery Cardiol. 2003:16:39–42.
- 9 van der Kuy PH, Lohman JJ. A quantification of the placebo response in migraine prophylaxis. Cephalgia. 2002;22:265–70.
- 10 Post MC, Thijs V, Herroelen L, Budts W. Closure of a patent foramen ovale is associated with a decrease in prevalence of migraine. Neurology. 2004;62:1439–40.
- 11 Dowson A, Wilmshurst P. Migraine intervention with Starflex Technology trial. Presentations at the American College of Cardiology, 2006.